## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO CASE NO. 1:10-cv-00564-MRB

LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC. Plaintiff

٧.

INK TECHNOLOGIES PRINTER SUPPLIES, LLC et al.
Defendants

## STIPULATED PERMANENT INJUNCTION, CONSENT JUDGMENT, AND DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

This matter is before the Court on the stipulated motion of Plaintiff, Lexmark International, Inc. ("Lexmark") and John Doe Defendant Printing Technology, Inc. ("PTI"), having a place of business at 21001 Nordhoff Street, Chatsworth, CA 21311, for entry of Stipulated Permanent Injunction, Consent Judgment and Dismissal With Prejudice, having agreed to a compromise and settlement of this action.

## IT IS HEREBY FOUND, ORDERED, ADJUDGED WITH CONSENT OF THE PARTIES that:

1. Lexmark is in the business of developing, manufacturing and selling laser printers and toner cartridges-including corresponding versions thereof made by Lexmark under private label for Dell, IBM, Toshiba, Nashuatec, Unisys, and Source Technologies, including toner cartridges for Lexmark's T420; T520/522; T610/612/614/616; T620/622; T630/632/634; T640/642/644; E120; E220; E230/232/234/238/240; E320/322; E321/323; E330/332; E340/342; and E250/350/352/450 laser printers as well as the private-label versions thereof (collectively, "the Toner Cartridges").

- 2. Lexmark owns and has standing to sue for infringement of United States Patent Nos. 5,337,032; 5,634,169; 5,758,231; 5,758,233; 5,768,661; 5,802,432; 5,875,378; 5,995,772; 6,009,291; 6,078,771; 6,397,015; 6,459,876; 6,487,383; 6,496,662; 6,678,489; 6,816,692; 6,871,031; 6,879,792; 7,139,510; 7,233,760; and 7,305,204 (the "Lexmark Patents").
- 3. PTI, its parent, divisions, subsidiaries, affiliates, successors and assigns and its directors, officers, and employees, or any of them is in the business, among other things, of importing and/or selling remanufactured Toner Cartridges in which rights in the Lexmark Patent rights were not exhausted, compatible versions of the Toner Cartridges, and/or clones of the Toner Cartridges (collectively, "the Accused Cartridges").
  - 4. The Lexmark Patents are valid and enforceable against PTI.
- 5. The following table identifies the patent claims of the Lexmark Patents that are satisfied literally by the Toner Cartridges and the Accused Cartridges:

Patent	Toner Cartridges / Accused Cartridges							
	E120	623X/E24X/ E33X/E34X	E25X/ E35X/E45X	T52X/T61X/ T62X/T63X/T64X	E320/22	E220 and E321/23		
5/3/617/(1)6/2				1,5,6				
5,634,169	·			1-3,32-34, 36, 42	32,36,42	32,36,42		
5,758,231		1-16	1-16		1-16	1-16		
5,758,233				1-4				
5,768,661				1,2,3,6				
5,802,432				1-3, 7-9				
5,875,378				1-3,12-14,24				
5,995,772				1-3,5,7-9, 12,14-18,20,21	14,15,22, 32-34	14,15,22, 32-34		
6,009,291	1-2	1-2	1-2	1-2				

	Toner Cartridges / Accused Cartridges								
Patent	E120	E23X/E24X/ E33X/E34X	E25X/ E35X/E45X	T52X/T61X/ T62X/T63X/T64X	E320/22	E220 and E321/23			
6,078,771	1,5,6,10, 12,13,15	1,5,6,10, 12,13,15	1,5,6,10, 12,13,15	1,2,5,6,10, 12,13,15					
6,397,015				1-4,7-12, 14-19,22-24	1,2,4, 9,17,19	1,2,4, 9,17,19			
6,459,876				1-28					
6.487,383	1,2,6, 10,15,19	1,2,6, 10,15,19	1,2,6, 10,15,19	1,2,6,10, 11,15,19	19	19			
6,496.662		1,3,5,7	1,3,5,7						
6.678.489		5-6	5-6						
6816692	1-13	1-13	1-13						
6,8741031		1-6,8-12	1-6,8-12						
((h:\v)\s\()\2\c		1-11	1-11						
7489510		1-10	1-10						
74.285.760	11,12,14	11,12,14	11,12,14						
97/×1015/92(18)		1-20	1-8,10-13						

- 6. The importation, use, remanufacture, manufacture, offer to sell or sale in the United States by anyone other than Lexmark of any Toner Cartridge that was originally sold outside the United States constitutes an infringement the above-identified claims in the Lexmark Patents.
- 7. The importation, use, remanufacture, manufacture, offer to sell or sale by anyone other than Lexmark of any Toner Cartridge in the United States under which Lexmark's Patent rights were not exhausted constitutes an infringement the above-identified claims in the Lexmark Patents.
- 8. Except for any cartridge in which Lexmark's Patent rights have been exhausted, this Court permanently enjoins PTI as well as those persons or companies in active concert or participation with PTI who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise from making, using, selling, offering for sale or importing into the

United States Accused Cartridges that infringe any of the above-identified patent claims or are not colorably different from the Accused Cartridges.

- 9. Nothing herein limits or shall be construed to limit in any way PTI's activities with respect to toner cartridges in which Lexmark's patent rights have been exhausted or activities authorized by Lexmark in writing. Further, nothing herein limits or shall be construed to limit in any way PTI's activities with respect to any Lexmark Patents that have expired, lapsed, are no longer enforceable, or have found to be invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction.
- 10. PTI represents and warrants that it has disclosed to Lexmark the quantity and type of all Accused Cartridges that it made, used, sold, offered for sale, or imported into the United States.
- 11. PTI consents to personal jurisdiction by this Court, consents to venue in this District, and waives services of process for this action.
- 12. PTI agrees to pay Lexmark a monthly, settlement amount on the terms to which the parties confidentially agreed. If PTI defaults on any of its monthly settlement payments, the remaining principal sum then unpaid shall become immediately due and payable and Lexmark may seek recourse from this Court. If a payment is not received by Lexmark, then Lexmark must give notice in writing to PTI with a copy to Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Esensten, LLP at 5567 Reseda Boulevard, Suite 330, Tarzana, California 91356 and PTI will have ten (10) days to cure the non-payment.

- 13. This Court retains jurisdiction over Lexmark and PTI to the extent necessary to enforce the terms of this Stipulated Permanent Injunction, Consent Judgment, and Dismissal With Prejudice and the agreement between the parties.
- 14. This Stipulated Permanent Injunction, Consent Judgment, and Dismissal With Prejudice shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of Lexmark and PTI as well as each of its respective subsidiaries, corporate parents, affiliates, and/or successors and assigns.
- 15. All claims between Lexmark and PTI are hereby dismissed with prejudice, with each party to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.

Dated: September 25, 2012By: s/ Michael R. Barrett
United States District Court Judge

HAVING BEEN SEEN AND AGREED TO ON SEPTEMBER  ${\underline{//}}$ , 2012:

By:

P. Douglas Barr (Ohio Bar No. 20868) Steven B. Loy Anthony J. Phelps STOLL KEENON OGDEN PLLC 300 West Vine Street, Suite 2100

Lexington, KY 40507

Telephone: (859) 231-3000 Facsimile: (859) 253-1093

William J. Hunter, Jr.
STOLL KEENON OGDEN PLLC
2000 PNC Plaza
500 West Jefferson Street
Louisville, KY 40202
Telephone: (502) 333-6000

Facsimile: (502) 333-6099

Printing Technology, Inc. 21001 Nordhoff Street Chatsworth, CA 91311 Timothy C. Meece V. Bryan Medlock Matthew P. Becker Jason S. Shull BANNER & WITCOFF LTD. 10 South Wacker Drive, Suite 3000 Chicago, Illinois 60606 Telephone: (312) 463-5000

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Lexmark International, Inc.